

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/768,438		01/29/2004	Naoyuki Enjoji	TOW-063 8750		
959	7590	12/04/2006		EXAMINER		
		FIELD, LLP	CREPEAU, JONATHAN			
ONE POST OFFICE SQUARE BOSTON, MA 02109-2127				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ĺ	•			1745		
٠				DATE MAILED: 12/04/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/768,438	ENJOJI ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Jonathan S. Crepeau	1745	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports. The mail of the second section is a second	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1) ズ	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 J	anuarv 2004.		
·		s action is non-final.		
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowa		osecution as to the merits is	
· / <u></u>	closed in accordance with the practice under t	•		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application			
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.	-		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	. ·		
8)⊠	Claim(s) $\underline{1-18}$ are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		<u> </u>	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	· ·	ed in this National Stage	
	application from the International Burea	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
* S	see the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachmen				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da		
3) Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P		

Application/Control Number: 10/768,438 Page 2

Art Unit: 1745

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-10, drawn to a fuel cell system, classified in class 429, subclass 22.
 - II. Claims 11-12, drawn to a fuel cell, classified in class 429, subclass 32.
 - III. Claims 13-18, drawn to a method of operating a fuel cell system, classified in class 429, subclass 13.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination claims do not require an anode and an adjacent cathode present on the same surface. The subcombination has separate utility such as in systems not requiring the switching mechanism recited in the combination claims.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or

Application/Control Number: 10/768,438 Page 3

Art Unit: 1745

divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 3. Inventions III and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus of Group I can perform methods other than that recited in Group III.
- 4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. A telephone call was not made to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement since past requests for oral elections in other matters were not successful.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Application/Control Number: 10/768,438

Art Unit: 1745

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan S. Crepeau whose telephone number is (571) 272-1299. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

Application/Control Number: 10/768,438

Art Unit: 1745

Page 5

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jonathan Crepeau Primary Examiner Art Unit 1745